

## **PRESENTERS**



### **Phil Cook, Barrister, Wellington**

Phil was one of the co-presenters for the 2004 seminar. He was a partner in Kensington Swan, Wellington (and one of its predecessors) from 1981 until late 2000, heading its litigation department from 1991. Since then, he has practised as a barrister sole. Phil has had considerable experience prosecuting and defending professional negligence claims.



### **Daniel McLellan, Barrister, Auckland**

Daniel McLellan was admitted to the bar in 1988. He became a partner of the insurance litigation firm Heaney Jones in 1993 and then of Jones Fee. In 2004 he became a barrister sole practising from Shortland Chambers in Auckland. Daniel has considerable experience acting for professionals in a wide range of disciplines and he is regularly instructed by insurers of professionals.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. RETAINER .....</b>	<b>3</b>
DEFINITION .....	3
FORMATION AND SCOPE .....	3
IMPLIED OR INFERRED TERMS .....	4
LAWYERS AND CONVEYANCERS ACT / LAWYERS: CONDUCT AND CLIENT CARE / RULES 2008 .....	4
SCOPE DEPENDS ON THE CIRCUMSTANCES .....	5
NO DUTY TO GO BEYOND SCOPE OF RETAINER .....	6
GENERAL RETAINER .....	6
IDENTITY OF THE CLIENT .....	7
PERIOD OF RETAINER .....	8
AUTHORITY .....	9
RETAINER SHOULD BE RECORDED IN WRITING .....	10
PRACTICAL CONSIDERATION .....	10
<b>3. CONTRACTUAL DUTIES .....</b>	<b>13</b>
DUTY TO EXERCISE DUE SKILL, CARE AND DILIGENCE .....	13
DUTIES WHICH ARE STRICT .....	13
DUTY IN THE EVENT OF DELEGATED PERFORMANCE .....	15
CASES ON BREACH OF DUTY .....	16
<i>Duty in relation to matters incidental to the retainer</i> .....	16
<i>Duty to give complete advice</i> .....	16
<i>Duty to warn against particular risks</i> .....	17
<i>Duty to advise on the wisdom of a transaction</i> .....	17
<i>Duty to prosecute proceedings with adequate diligence</i> .....	17
<b>4. TORTIOUS DUTIES - GENERAL .....</b>	<b>19</b>
DIFFERENT FORMS OF DUTY OF CARE .....	19
<i>Duties to clients</i> .....	19
THE GENERAL APPROACH TO DUTIES OF CARE .....	20
<i>Stage 1 - proximity</i> .....	22
<i>Stage 2 - external policy factors</i> .....	23
CONCURRENT DUTIES OF CARE IN CONTRACT AND TORT .....	25
MODIFICATION OF DUTY OF CARE BY CONTRACTUAL TERMS .....	25
<b>5. TORTIOUS DUTIES TO THIRD PARTIES .....</b>	<b>29</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	29
<i>General issues relating to duties of care owed to third parties</i> .....	29
<b>6. STANDARD OF CARE .....</b>	<b>39</b>
GENERAL PRINCIPLES .....	39
<i>How to assess the standard of care in individual cases</i> .....	39
<i>Specific professions</i> .....	42
<b>7. FIDUCIARY OBLIGATIONS .....</b>	<b>45</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	45
SOLICITORS OWE A FIDUCIARY DUTY TO THEIR CLIENTS .....	45
WHO IS A "FIDUCIARY"? .....	46
DUTY OF LOYALTY .....	46
NOT EVERY BREACH BY A FIDUCIARY IS A BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY .....	46
FIDUCIARY DUTIES PARTICULARLY RELEVANT TO PROFESSIONALS .....	47
NO PROFIT DUTY .....	48
FINANCIAL ADVISER .....	49
SHAREBROKER .....	49

SOLICITORS .....	49
SOLICITORS INVOLVED IN FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS WITH A CLIENT; CONFLICT OF INTEREST .....	49
CAREFUL CONSIDERATION REQUIRED .....	50
CONFLICT OF INTEREST, ACTING FOR TWO PARTIES OR MORE IN A TRANSACTION .....	51
General.....	51
<i>When does a conflict of interest arise?</i> .....	51
WHAT IS INFORMED CONSENT? .....	52
STEPS TO BE TAKEN.....	52
INDEPENDENT ADVICE.....	53
EXAMPLES OF FAILURE TO OBTAIN INFORMED CONSENT .....	53
SITUATIONS OUTSIDE THE RETAINER.....	54
CHANGING RETAINER.....	54
OBLIGATION TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION.....	55
CONFIDENTIALITY.....	56
RUSSELL MCVEAGH MCKENZIE BARTLETT & Co.....	56
BOLKIAH.....	57
MEDIATION .....	58
<b>8. FAIR TRADING ACT 1986 .....</b>	<b>61</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	61
CONTENTS OF CHAPTER.....	61
APPLICATION .....	61
SECTION 9 .....	61
DEFINITION OF TRADE .....	61
DEFINITION OF “ENGAGE IN CONDUCT” .....	62
OBJECTIVE TEST: MEANING OF “MISLEAD OR DECEIVE” .....	62
CONDUCT DOES NOT NEED TO AMOUNT TO A CONTRACTUAL MISREPRESENTATION OR A NEGLIGENT MISSTATEMENT .....	63
LIKELY TO MISLEAD OR DECEIVE .....	64
INTENT NOT NECESSARY ELEMENT .....	64
THE MISLEADING CONDUCT MAY BE CAUSATIVE OF THE LOSS .....	65
THREE STEP PROCESS TO DETERMINE BREACH.....	65
<i>Step 1</i> .....	65
<i>Step 2</i> .....	65
<i>Step 3</i> .....	65
APPLICATION OF THE TEST IN <i>HEAVEN</i> .....	66
<i>QUALITY FOREST HARVESTING LTD V PROJEX PLANT SERVICES LTD AND HIREQIP LTD</i> .....	66
<i>CHURCHILL GROUP HOLDINGS LTD V ARAL PROPERTIES HOLDINGS LTD AND LEUNG</i> .....	66
<i>CLIFTON-MOGG V NATIONAL BANK OF NZ LTD</i> .....	66
<i>CALTEX NEW ZEALAND LTD V GATEWAY ENERGY CENTRE LTD</i> .....	67
<i>LANE GROUP LTD V DI &amp; L PATERSON LTD</i> .....	67
<i>PROVINCIAL FINANCE LTD V TROWBRIDGE</i> .....	67
<i>SMITH V TUSKERS (YALDHURST ROAD) LTD</i> .....	67
<i>HALL V WARWICK TODD LTD</i> .....	68
<i>PREMIUM REAL ESTATE LTD V STEVENS &amp; ORS</i> .....	68
PROFESSIONAL ACTING AS A CONDUIT .....	68
SOLICITORS .....	69
<i>Goldsbro</i> .....	69
<i>Cashmere Enterprises Ltd</i> .....	70
<i>Newport v Coburn and ors; Newport v Lemmington</i> .....	70
<i>Gilrose Finance</i> .....	70
ACCOUNTANTS .....	70
REAL ESTATE AGENTS .....	71
FINANCIER .....	71
AUCTIONEER .....	71
MODIFICATION/VARIATION OF A CONTRACT .....	72
DISCLAIMERS, EXCLUSION CLAUSES .....	72
WHEN A DISCLAIMER MAY AFFECT LIABILITY .....	74
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CLAUSE .....	75
AUCTIONEERS – TEST CASE .....	75
RELIEF .....	76

<i>Section 43(2)</i> .....	76
DISCRETIONARY POWER.....	77
MEASURE OF DAMAGES .....	77
LIMITATION .....	78
<b>9. CAUSATION .....</b>	<b>81</b>
REMOTENESS IN CONTRACT / TORT .....	81
<i>BANK OF NEW ZEALAND V NEW ZEALAND GUARDIAN TRUST CO LTD</i> .....	82
CLOSE NEXUS/DISTANT NEXUS.....	82
SUMMARY.....	83
RECENT CASES.....	84
<b>10. CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE / CONTRIBUTION.....</b>	<b>85</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	85
ONUS OF PROOF .....	85
CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE MUST BE A CAUSE OF THE LOSS .....	85
DEFENCE AVAILABLE IN ALL CASES INVOLVING BREACH OF DUTY OF CARE .....	86
STANDARD OF CARE .....	87
APPORTIONMENT .....	87
WHAT IF THERE IS MORE THAN ONE DEFENDANT? .....	88
WHAT IF ONE OR MORE OF THE DEFENDANTS HAS SETTLED?.....	89
WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A JOINT AND A CONCURRENT TORTFEASOR? .....	89
WHAT WILL AMOUNT TO A RELEASE? .....	89
THE EFFECT OF A JUDGMENT .....	90
THE EFFECT OF A SETTLEMENT WITH A CONCURRENT TORTFEASOR .....	90
THE EFFECT OF PAYMENT.....	90
CONTRIBUTION .....	90
TIME LIMIT FOR SEEKING CONTRIBUTION .....	91
WHEN SHOULD A CLAIM FOR CONTRIBUTION BE MADE? .....	91
EXTENT OF CONTRIBUTION .....	91
APPROACH TO APPORTIONMENT.....	92
<b>11. LIMITATION .....</b>	<b>93</b>
STATUTORY LIMITATION .....	93
<i>The Limitation Act 1950</i> .....	93
<i>Issues in identifying accrual dates</i> .....	94
<i>Conventional accrual issues</i> .....	95
<i>Postponement of a limitation period</i> .....	97
EQUITABLE CAUSES OF ACTION .....	100
THE ‘LONG STOP’ LIMITATION IN THE BUILDING ACT .....	100
REFORM .....	101
<b>12. UNDERTAKINGS.....</b>	<b>103</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	103
<i>The professions generally</i> .....	103
<i>Distinguishing feature concerning solicitors</i> .....	103
<i>Contents</i> .....	104
<i>What is the undertaking?</i> .....	104
<i>Inherent jurisdiction of the High Court</i> .....	104
<i>Discretion</i> .....	105
<i>Factors to be established before an undertaking will be enforced.</i> .....	105
<i>The undertaking must be clear and unambiguous in its terms</i> .....	105
<i>Matters in dispute</i> .....	105
<i>Construing an undertaking</i> .....	105
<i>Inconsistency with the nature of the legal relationship</i> .....	106
<i>Not essential that the word undertaking be used</i> .....	107
<i>Oral undertaking</i> .....	108
<i>The undertaking must be given personally and in the solicitor’s professional capacity</i> .....	108
<i>Compensation where an undertaking cannot be fulfilled</i> .....	109
<i>Examples of undertakings being enforced</i> .....	109
<i>Employee/firm</i> .....	110

<i>Costs</i> .....	110
THE PRACTICAL POSITION .....	111
<i>Undertakings given need to be clear and precise.</i> .....	111
<i>Oral undertakings</i> .....	111
<i>Undertakings to pay money</i> .....	111
<b>13. IMMUNITY FROM NEGLIGENCE .....</b>	<b>113</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	113
POSITION PRIOR TO CHAMBERLAINS .....	113
IMMUNITY REVOKED BY THE HOUSE OF LORDS: 2000 .....	114
MARCH 2005 .....	115
COURT OF APPEAL DECISION IN <i>LAI V CHAMBERLAINS</i> .....	115
AUSTRALIAN HIGH COURT DECISION: D'ORTA - EKENAIKE .....	116
<i>LAI V CHAMBERLAINS</i> : SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND.....	117
EXPERIENCE IN ENGLAND SINCE <i>HALL V SIMONS</i> .....	118
CAN A BARRISTER SUE FOR HIS / HER FEES?.....	119
ISSUE TO BE RECEIVED? .....	120
<b>14. EXEMPLARY DAMAGES .....</b>	<b>121</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	121
ORIGIN .....	121
OBJECT: FUNCTION – COMPARISON WITH AGGRAVATED DAMAGES.....	121
CONDUCT NEEDS TO BE OUTRAGEOUS.....	122
MODEST AWARDS .....	122
PRINCIPLES RE-STATE.....	123
EXEMPLARY DAMAGES IN BREACH OF CONTRACT.....	124
EXEMPLARY DAMAGES IN EQUITY.....	126
<i>COOK V EVATT (No 2)</i> .....	126
<i>KAWHIA OFFSHORE SERVICES LTD</i> .....	127
<i>HIRE INTELLIGENCE SOLUTIONS NZ LTD</i> .....	127
RECENT DECISIONS .....	127
EXEMPLARY DAMAGES IN NEGLIGENCE .....	128
<b>15. LAWYERS AND CONVEYANCERS ACT (LAWYERS: CONDUCT AND CLIENT CARE) RULES 2008 .....</b>	<b>131</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	131
CLAUSE 13: LAWYERS AS OFFICERS OF THE COURT .....	132